

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/084,828

Applicant(s)

HARADA ET AL.

Examiner

Yvette C. Thornton

Art Unit

1752

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Yvette C. Thornton

(3) _____

(2) John Sopp

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 May 2004Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No
If Yes, brief description: _____Claim(s) discussed: 1-22

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner suggested cancelling claims 1, 3-10 and 19-20 in order to expedite prosecution of allowed claims 2, 11-18 and 21-22. Applicants requested a written office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required